

Q43 Any further comments to do with anything covered in this survey

Answered: 104 Skipped: 301

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Please choose sites based on protecting wildlife, views and community spirit, not protecting those with the loudest voices and closest connections to the Council!	6/30/2022 9:24 AM
2	With the proposed number of new homes, the school and health centre capacity should be taken into account. Traffic congestion through the village is particularly bad during rush hour and building additional properties will exacerbate this. Deddington cannot accomodate additional housing and keep its character.	6/30/2022 9:15 AM
3	Important that future large scale developemnt has some resources of their own - i.e. shops/communitycentre/more surgeries if necessary	6/30/2022 9:09 AM
4	Congratulations to the NPSG for this incredible piece of work, so throughly researched and allowing the community to have an truly informed and effective voice in the future of our Parish. It sets the Gold Standard for community consultation. Thank you.	6/30/2022 8:58 AM
5	We are against ANY local development unless there is an increase in the local health facilities. The health centre is already quoting a 4-week delay in visiting a doctor. Additional houses will only make this situation worse. Additionally, any development in Hempton will increase the traffic through the pinch point in the village centre. Over the years there have been a number of accidents and very near misses, extra housing will only make this situation worse	6/29/2022 8:08 PM
6	Housing is required. We must all take a share - however, the need of the community must be taken into consideration. Traffic is a priority. Biodiversity, wildlife an habitat must be at the forefront of how these sites are prioritised. Can the school cope? Can the GP surgery cope? We have no bus service for people in Clifton & Hempton forcing residents into cars. We have picked the only brownfield site as our no 1 site for obvious reasons and our choices in Hempton where we live and Clifton based on environmental pressures. The rest we leave up the residents of Deddington.	6/29/2022 6:10 PM
7	Assuming the sites will encourage early planning applications, we should assess green sites (in perpetuity). the danger I too sits taken up in early years and then a decade with more. The entrances to the village (once excellent) have all but from the south been compromised. The north probably beyond repair so more building can do more harm. But protect all the others. The unique Castle mound is the essence of the village and should be protected on all sides.	6/29/2022 4:51 PM
8	Thank you to ALL who have worked hard on this plan. Heavy traffic should NOT be allowed to use smaller roads. The shaking of buildings and wear on roads is very destructive. Please retain the villages of England. They are so important. Old people require local amenities and good pavements. Pick up do poo.	6/29/2022 4:36 PM
9	Proposed number of additional homes will increase size of parish by 10%. Should have allowed respondents to comment against each site	6/29/2022 1:36 PM
10	Excellent plan. Apologies for late return. I was unable to do this online - I don't know what. Android phone	6/29/2022 1:05 PM
11	If all this housing needs to come into Deddington and surrounding area then it seems best to put it in larger chunks on main stretch where it impacts the least, so less homes are impacted, views etc ... 'Stretching out' the smaller sites in Hempton and Clifton will have further impact on nature	6/28/2022 8:11 PM
12	Global warming overrides all. 1. New housing to comply with 10-15 minutes walking distance from market square centre 2. Run-around bus services to pick up 3 villages 3. Restricted parking in market square eg, 0800 - 1700 ban with buses supplemental to cover 10-15 minutes sites (controversial but essential in long run)	6/28/2022 7:58 PM
13	It bothers me that people that have moved into the parish from far afield (ie, not from N Oxon and surrounding villages) have as much or more say in how the village evolves. Some people have lived in Deddington for all or most of their lives and some families have a history in Deddington and surrounding area that goes back generations. These people	6/28/2022 7:30 PM

	deserve to have a greater input than those who've arrived more recently. They are, after all, the locals	
14	Brown field sites should always be prioritised to minimise the loss of agricultural land. the new developments should be within the existing village boundary enabling walking access to all village amenities without adding to existing parking problems in the centre. Whichever sites are selected the sum of the new houses should not exceed the limits laid down in the plan. Are the current developments under construction (Hempton an Clifton Rd) and the planned over 50's development on the Clifton Road part of the 100-150 homes? If so, they should deducted from the total.	6/28/2022 7:08 PM
15	No comment is made about schooling - already overcrowded. No comment about doctors surgery - now already a. four week delay to see a doctor. Transport? Parking? Congestion? All excluded and not discussed. (I THINK THIS RESPONDENT MISSED A PAGE WHERE TRANSPORT AND PARKING QUESTION IS POSED - THEY HAVENT ANSWERED QUESTIONS ON PAGES 18 & 19)	6/28/2022 6:56 PM
16	Before any new properties are built consideration should be given to ensuring sufficient places in the school. Also utilities such as water and electricity should be sufficient for increased population taking into account additional requirement for electric vehicles. The properties should be built to the highest speciication, well insulated and possibly of air source heating and other sub eco measures	6/28/2022 6:48 PM
17	Absolutley believe German/Scandinavian standards are crucial to adequate housing stock for changed climate but relying on centralised mechanical ventilation poses long term problems.	6/28/2022 6:20 PM
18	With the Government change in Housing Policy to now actively discourage building on greenfield sites, ooutside of settlement boundaries, impacting historic landscapes etc, will this now be taken into consideration and implemented as part of the CDC policy or will they continue to strive to make and meet "targets" blindly? Conservation areas are being absorbed into new developments. Listed buildings and their setting is being lost for future generations. The Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan (2021) was completely ignored and cited as "outdated" only being three years old to enable a housing development at second appeal go through. Is there any value in the DNP? (Area of high landscape value outside of the village boundary overlooking the Conservation area and Grade 1 Historic St Mary's Church).	6/28/2022 6:11 PM
19	It is key to ensure future development is kept to the out skirts of the village. Current infrastructure towards the centre is OLD and CANNOT take any additional load that would be proposed on any central locations. Also, it is KEY that Hempton and Clifton take some of the burden away from Deddington	6/28/2022 5:42 PM
20	We need to restrict housing on this scale	6/28/2022 3:47 PM
21	* Your maps are already out-dated - current building isn't shown * Only 126 houses up to 2040 * Brownfield and infill wherever possible as part of the 126 * Unbreachable cordon/buffer zone round Castle Grounds - one of only four Bishop Odo caputs in the country. It's not showy but it has big historical and archaeological importance. Shame on historic England. *Protect ridge/furrow and prime agricultural land- especially necessary after BREXIT. * Divide big block sites e.g. DNP& - North half first. * Keep the village compact. Add-on "estates" are outliers, a veiled form of ribbon development. * Resist developer -led expansion and replace it with a strong NP which honours the integrity of this agricultural village. * Give The Grange a chance to settle-it's a huge add-on *Small houses for actual workers are what's needed - preference for in-perpetuity houses, kept at wage-level rent/purchase price. *The view FROM the castle is infinitely precious, North and South - this setting needs prserving too. Cherwell Planning Officers have warned of the dangers of eg Chapman's Lane building. *This consultation is about what is RIGHT for this rural village which is massively worth preserving. We must be strong enough to say NO to general housing expansion and push for specific targeted integrated growth. That's your job! Land is precious; countryside is irreplaceable.	6/28/2022 3:10 PM
22	Any development must contribute to the community - to assist with Health facilities and School being expanded to cover needs of additional residents. Consideration also needs to be taken regarding access of any new building sites to current road networks. There are a number of areas where cars find they cannot join the main A4260 due to the volume of traffice present.	6/28/2022 2:22 PM
23	Worried how the GP surgery, school will be able to cope with further developments as they are over-populated already. Also there is only one convenience store of which is also over-populated. These amenities cover not only Deddington but many more areas already and we are seriously over run with residents often unable to cope with the existing population of which they accomodate.	6/28/2022 2:07 PM

24	We must try and preserve the integrity of the village and at all costs avoid ribbon developments from the historic centre and conservation of the village whilst providing homes for the community	6/28/2022 1:20 PM
25	We must push against this large volume of houses. The developers have no concern for the will of the villagers! The government wants to build on brown field sites, near work places and mainly up North. Not in villages in a rural setting. This has no regard for the environment, the villagers and the historic settings. I strenuously believe we should stop such large scale developments. I am against large developments!! Our hope our councillors can support us to reduce the numbers.	6/28/2022 1:14 PM
26	I totally disagree with the high number of houses in the period stated. We should be building more appropriate sized developments that take into account the characteristics of the village and the need that is far less since BREXIT. We should not build on any agricultural land given a growing need for this country to use its own resources and the need to help towards improving climate change (food miles, environmental impacts, car pollution). All of these would be diminished with the building of more houses.	6/28/2022 1:05 PM
27	I would like to pay tribute to the team that has worked hard to put this together, with whatever external support has been bought. In my experience plans are rather more easily drafted and written than they are discussed. Delivery and skilled execution is what makes a difference. Let's hope the relevant bodies and authorities that are responsible for delivery-do so in a professional and appropriate manner and ensure the developers are carried out	6/28/2022 12:51 PM
28	For any future housing in Deddington the issue of access to GPs, pharmacists, schools and traffic increase along all of roads especially those around the school MUST be addressed. We are already at full capacity. Please make this a priority	6/28/2022 12:33 PM
29	Should the Grove development happen it would be most useful to install a request crossing opposite Hudson Street, such as the one by the Primary School from The Grange Houses. Bring back an "Artisan Baker"- Brailes has got one. DNP20 should not be built on - we value the services provided there for car maintenance - deddington has lost 3 garages and for item storage- most useful It was once under Parish discussion that lock-up rental work places should be allowed for self-employed in any future planning. It has never happened.	6/28/2022 12:13 PM
30	How will our school cope with more and more intake- it voted to stay the same size a few years back. It seems likely that it will be forced to change, just as we are being forced to agree where to put more homes rather than being asked if there is a need and what types of properties there is a need for.	6/28/2022 11:19 AM
31	Is there a real need for 150 houses in Deddington? As a county we need to deliver a certain amount of housing, sure, but is a small (once village) town like Deddington, really in need of 150 new homes? A place with character and charm, the hub of the marketplace and its small footprint, detached from other villages, surrounded by green. 150 new homes often means at least 150 new cars on the Deddington roads and surrounding areas. As a community we should be trying to decrease our impact on the environment, and I believe 150 new homes will have a dramatic effect on that.	6/28/2022 9:38 AM
32	The letter DDW sent to the Parish makes various claims, that are erroneous at best, and are in fact, just the opinions of a few. It is their opinion that the view of the Poplars is 'iconic', and what makes the site a 'clear candidate' for LGS, especially when the Steering group have had a response from the developer making a very clear case as to why the land does not meet the necessary criteria, one in particular being that you can't allocate LGS just to stop a site being developed... clearly they are just trying to stop this site being developed, or they wouldn't have put out this flyer to the Parish to tell them what they want the Parish to say! Including the bit about Satin Lane allotments did not hide the fact that DDW reported something that, unless I'm much mistaken, they had no right to know - nor did it cover up the not so veiled attempt at swaying public opinion. They should be ashamed of themselves! Seems they couldn't get their own way fairly and appropriately through the PC, they tried to have the last word themselves. I sincerely hope the PC have the good sense and integrity to bin DEDD9 & 10 from the survey, as none of the responses can be relied upon in light of DDW's malicious interfering.	6/27/2022 10:31 PM
33	We believe the atmosphere of Deddington would be ruined if the population is increased this extensively and rapidly. We feel strongly that developments should take place sequentially and slowly to aid integration into the local community and reduce the amount of construction traffic in the village at once. Further support for the GP surgery and school are essential.	6/27/2022 8:29 PM
34	Can't stress how disappointed we are to see that the same sites keep reappearing. Is there some way to stop landowners continually putting in for planning on sites that are not suitable for development? Maybe designation of local green spaces could resolve this problem.	6/27/2022 8:03 PM

	Disappointing and poor not see plans for green spaces in this survey. The details (locations) should be part & parcel of a neighbourhood plan and therefore SHOULD be included.	
35	Valuable agricultural land is being taken top by housing estates. In order to preserve the local area, the only way of doing this is by keeping it how it is	6/27/2022 7:41 PM
36	H106 household feels extremely strongly that in order to preserve the countryside and farming, housing estates should be strongly opposed	6/27/2022 7:23 PM
37	H106 household feel extremely strongly that in order to preserve the local countryside and farming, housing estates should be strongly opposed	6/27/2022 7:12 PM
38	All developments should include homes suitable for elderly people;e. Bungalows or flats with lifts should be required before planning consent is granted	6/27/2022 6:43 PM
39	Please, once and for al, grasp the nettle of proper parking. It is needed, no matter how admirable sustainable travel is. Public transport & cycling/walking can only alleviate car use by a fraction in a rural area like Deddington	6/27/2022 6:28 PM
40	The NP is in my opinion accepting that excessive development in villages like Deddington is inevitable- it is NOT. Government policies are changing and housing forecast numbers will be brought down. The Government's leveling up agendas will restrict development in the South East towards the remainder of the UK. Sustainability should result in development around major towns like Banbury and Bicester. Farmland must be kept in agricultural use.	6/27/2022 5:40 PM
41	Important to let locals/first time buyers be given best opportunities for affordable homes to keep local families local. This has not been so on the latest site by Wimborn Close for instance	6/27/2022 4:30 PM
42	Thank you for your hard work on this.	6/27/2022 4:21 PM
43	Thank you for all the hard work.	6/27/2022 4:11 PM
44	Limit number of homes being sold to second home owners.	6/27/2022 4:04 PM
45	Deddington is an amazing village. Keeping it as it is today though will not maintain this status. We will need to grow, in a controlled and well thought out manner, aligned with jobs. Maintaining and growing commercial and community spaces out happen too - too much housing without these does ruin community spirits. Let's embrace change but the right change.	6/27/2022 3:15 PM
46	No point in building additional housing if there is no improvements in the infrastructure. Health centre unable to provide adequate services and parking problems on Earl's Lane. Is school able to provide adequate schooling for all new proposed housing? The main North/South road a complete rat race and Earls lane with parking for the school and health centre akingto Silverstone!	6/27/2022 2:40 PM
47	More provision for elderly to downsize and free up large houses. Bungalows maybe! or flats with lifts	6/27/2022 2:19 PM
48	How can you justify taking a working farm away? The villages are already being spoilt and taken away. The roads are used as a cut through and lorries never take any notice of the 7.5 tonne weight limit e.g from the building sites already happening. These villages should be protected from development any further. (x 2)	6/27/2022 2:10 PM
49	We are very concerned about the amount of traffic in Earls lane. There is a safety problem close to the school and Health centre with many cars often speeding in the lane. More housing will increase this traffic, as well as causing more PARKING PROBLEMS in Deddington.	6/27/2022 1:32 PM
50	DNP20 is a working farm with essential barns for animal and feed use and therefore essential in food production for livestock and crops. Major concerns re infrastructure especially concerning water supply, sewerage, power supply. B. Ryalnd, Tithe Barn, Clifton	6/27/2022 1:22 PM
51	Please ensure adequate space for Dr and School increased population in Deddington	6/27/2022 1:10 PM
52	As i have said before. If houses need to be made, they need to be affordable for people like myself on low wages. I should NOT have yo live back at home eith parents at the age of 37, because i am on a low income with work. The residents do not feel like we are being listened too. The land adjacent to st John Way in Hempton,will ruin the views that our families all moved here for. There is no infractstrure in our village(Hempton) The roads are alresdy too busy, especially with diversions now that Barford St Michael isnt as easily accesssable due to the restrictions on the bridge. The speed in the village, has killed many residents pets and also wildlife. I myself have had two cats killed on the road. There are alot of elderly residents in the village too and dog walkers that need to cross the road. There are	6/27/2022 11:54 AM

always car accidents in the pinch point of the village. I think you really do need to listen more to the local villager objections to these proposals. And not just lining the pockets of greedy land owners and developers Also, trees 100's of years old will be destroyed, wildlife habitats included.

53	Apologies if this replicates an earlier submission but we were unsure whether the last submission was "sent and received"	6/27/2022 10:23 AM
54	Please stop this. It's ruining our beautiful area.	6/26/2022 10:46 PM
55	Open and fair discussion is needed on all of the sites - and conflicting intrests should be noted. avoidance of selling on site a new developer who changes numbers and plans should not happen.	6/26/2022 9:14 PM
56	I HOPE ANY DEVELOPMENTS DO KEEP THE CHARACTER, QUALITY AND NATURE OF TOWN, IT IS WHY PEOPLE CHOOSE TO LIVE HERE. WE BELIEVE IN NATURAL STEADY ORGANIC GROWTH BUT THE NEEDS OF SUPPLY BUT DELIBERATE OVER DEVELOPMENT WILL KILL ANY AREA. ALSO HOUSING FOR YOUNG AND ELDERLY ETC MUST BE KEPT FOR THAT REASON AND NOT AFTER THE FIRST PURCHASE BE NORMAL HOUSING OTHERWISE YOU ARE CONSTANTLY TRYING TO FULL FILL THAT NEED RATHER THAN HAVING STOCK HOUSES THAT ARE SUITABLE.	6/26/2022 8:11 PM
57	Public transport needs to be maintained and improved in rural areas. An OAP is pleased to receive his bus-pass. A problem: the nearest bus-stop is two miles away.	6/26/2022 4:25 PM
58	The larger sites in Deddington such as plot 10 will ruin the landscape at the entrance to the countryside (down the daedings) that many residents use today and will dramatically detract from the feel of the village today	6/25/2022 9:58 PM
59	Before you put more houses on our villages you need to build a bigger GP surgery, get the government to train up more GPS, have another school and get the government to train more teachers, put in a regular bus service through Hempton and Clifton which runs at least 8 times a day and improve roads and put in footpaths. Only then can you build more houses.	6/25/2022 3:50 PM
60	We very much value the key views over Grove Fields and to the south of Deddington. We would prefer for any proposed development not to negatively impact any of the identified key views. Grove Fields and the footpaths toward the south-west of Deddington provide access to rural green space very close to the village and so we would be against these being diminished by using this site for housing. The foot path and views add to the rural character of Deddington and the policies map identifies both key views and long-distance views from Grove Fields which are easily accessible from the village. It would be detrimental to lose this to housing and we would like to see this protected with a designation of Local Green Open Space. I have concerns about vehicular access to site DPN7 for a site of over 100 houses as The Grove is a narrow street with limited footways, close proximity to the Hudson Street Junction and restricted sightlines, in addition there are a large number of elderly residents who would be negatively impacted. It seems logical to us to create a new development next to The Deddington Grange (DNP10) for the following reasons: - This larger development would mean a larger planning contribution which would benefit Deddington and the surrounding areas. - It would accommodate the complete allocation in a single development potentially minimising disruption. - It would not impact key views or existing footpaths. - Access would be easily created directly from the adjacent Banbury Rd. - There would be no impact on the historic environment within the conservation area nor its setting.	6/24/2022 6:15 PM
61	Proposed plan NP20 appears to ignore that Home Farm Clifton is working farm. The plan appears to remove buildings that are used for producing food including livestock and storing crops. The production of food is going to become increasing important in the future and land must not developed which is currently part of the UK's Food Production.	6/24/2022 12:47 PM
62	I disagree with the target of 150 houses for Deddington,clifton, hempton. The houses that have been built over recent years have not been taken into account. We have already taken on over 100 houses in recent years which has already considerable impact on the village, parking, traffic, loss of agricultural land, and general loss of the character of the village . I am aware that the government itself (via Michael Gove) are looking at the impact of Brexit and the need to focus on levelling up, which means , less housing and more focus on the north of England. So we should await any decisions on granting planning permission until we have the full outcome of the actual needs in full across the UK. There is a growing need to ensure we protect agricultural land (increasing need and commitment to produce more food in the UK), wildlife and reduce car pollution. Building this number of houses will have a detrimental affect on all of the above. I feel this consultation is biased towards developers who will take advantage of this and will see this as a way to completely destroy our village. We should protect our green spaces and villages as what they are. Once gone they are	6/24/2022 11:22 AM

gone forever. More houses should be built on brown field sites around where there is employment . We are constantly talking about climate change....building more and more houses in villages will create more problems, more travel, destroying wildlife, destroying agricultural land. We need to get back to be aware of the impending recession and the slow down of the housing market generally, so any planning permission given now may not be valid when reduced numbers are required. Reversing planning permission is near impossible.

63	We are happy to provide further information about our proposals for site DNP6 including potential layouts. We are also content to undertake a consultation exercise at the appropriate time.	6/24/2022 10:54 AM
64	As a resident of Hempton, I would oppose building south of The Lane, Hempton. Worried that access would not be thought out. The lane is too small/narrow to take any further traffic, and access near the 'pinch point' on the Hempton Road would be dangerous.	6/24/2022 10:49 AM
65	The suggestion of building large developments is totally out of line with the now current UK gov thinking and the villagers of Deddington (see previous questionnaires). The proposed "126 houses by 2040" is totally inaccurate/inappropriate and should be challenged by all parties. The principal reasons being: Brexit. The landscape of required housing has changed. Many immigrants (reported between 1.2 and 1.6m and counting) have left the UK. With on the reverse side permitted immigration is notably lower. Levelling up. This is a government initiative and is strongly focused on the North building houses and regenerating growth , and NOT building in and around rural villages/communities elsewhere in the UK. Brown field site. The government has pointed out that a priority should be for brown field sites. Deddington has only a few such sites. Existing growth: The figure of 126 does not take into account the smaller individual sites that have been built, this ongoing build should be taken into account and therefore reduce the projected number. Challenges. All developers are fully aware there are many challenges (by many groups and organisations) to the overall house numbers that have been set. These greedy developers who have no concern for the village, its setting and our future , are very eager to gain initial planning permission before the outcome of any such challenge. So it is critical we await the changes /potential withdrawal. Once they have planning permission that is it! Recesssion. We are facing a recession and it would be foolish to allow planning permission now and potentially blight our picturesque village with full scale development. As above once the developer has planning permission there is no turning back! Sustainability . Clearly if we are truly looking at sustainability we should be aware that building houses in a rural setting miles away from public and commercial activities is ludicrous. Jobs will be found in Banbury , Bicester, Oxford all requiring travel . Car travel as we know is a major polluter. Public services: Bus transport is limited in Deddington and unlikely to improve , even with the so called developer "contributions" it would take a far, far greater commitment to have a fully functioning and meaningful public transport solution. The developers would be pulling the wool over our eyes to think otherwise. Agricultural land. As we are currently seeing from events in the world global activities causes often food prices to rise and the need to again look at how we manage our own resources. We should strongly be against building on any agricultural land. This includes previously used agricultural land , which the current land owners have purposely left fallow to allow the developer to claim it is not in use! Target reached. Cherwell District Council have already exceeded the 2030 allocation. With the current challenge /withdrawal of the need to have a 5 Year Housing Supply it is expected CDC will also prevent further developments in rural areas. Wildlife/environment. The UK is spearheading the protecting of wildlife and our environment, we too should therefore respect this. Many of these sites will be damaging to both. Historic protection. I note that one of these sites is on conservation area on the castle grounds , this should be protected. Future development. We know that once we commit to these 126 houses that this will stop future developers seeking further planning for even more houses. This will be the beginning of the end of what we know as Deddington village but will end up like places such as Bloxham. Michael Gove is already reviewing housing requirements and we too should hold back and consider carefully what we do. Deddington, Clifton and Hempton are villages and not towns and we should cherish and protect them for ourselves and our future generations. Accepting small steady growth.	6/23/2022 7:30 PM
66	Nice document and website, but you do what you want anyways.... Boris syndrome it is called now. Deddington has no community as that has been killed off by outsiders and people in power putting bills up then giving away the money we have to pay.... Why do we need 3 levels of council?	6/23/2022 6:34 AM
67	I applaud all the work done but plead with the Parish Council to stand behind the plan and ensure individual interested do not overpower the needs of the community. Please don't neglect the elderly or the disabled. Often on low incomes too, they need help to stay in their villages. They have such a small voice, it is up to us to stand for them.	6/22/2022 6:59 PM

83	I like the donkey photo. I did not like that some questions lumped multiple issue together. I felt there was too much "considering" thinking about proposing things.	6/17/2022 11:13 AM
84	* Charging points for all new housing (cars). * Housing Associations to be encouraged to take a housing development * Affordable housing should be for rent or buy and for local people on housing register *Affordable rented housing should not be available on the open market * Community land trust should be set up to take on existing property/land on developemtn opportunities	6/14/2022 2:42 PM
85	Deddington is a lovely village sadly in danger of being spoiled by over developemnt and poor quality development at that. I hope the Council reviews its plan.	6/13/2022 5:24 PM
86	One of the problems you have in Deddington is traffic speed and density and parking. Increases such as the proposed development on a large scale will lead to even more problems, and facilities, such as medical centre and I assume the local school, are struggling to cope with the influx. More traffic, morre speefing through the village. While I agree there is a need for more housing - small developments would seem a much better way to go, and might maintain the character of the village.	6/13/2022 4:36 PM
87	The dark, murky camouflage colpours of the small site allocatopn photos has made this exercise very difficult - even though wearing reading glasses and using a magnifying glass!! However, many thanks to the Neighbourhppd Plan Steering Group for all your hard work.	6/13/2022 4:01 PM
88	I do hope Deddington does not lose the 'village' look and feel if too many properties are built.	6/13/2022 3:54 PM
89	There should be more over 55 flats with lifts to second floors. Maybe some 2 bed bungalows for older people to downsize to. That would free up larger properties for families. Not all older people want to live in a flat. DNP7 If allowed residents would use the Grove as a short cut and would then have to cross a busy road to get to the Market Place	6/13/2022 3:27 PM
90	New housing should have standing off road for at least one car to enable EV charging.	6/13/2022 3:00 PM
91	One single development at DNP10 or 11 rather than trying to fit a few houses into smaller areas.	6/11/2022 8:57 PM
92	Feel that one development in a suitable location is better than piece meal development over a number of sites.	6/10/2022 8:41 PM
93	As many of hempton and Clifton residents are senior and retired, it is not deasible to expect them to walk or cycle to deddington for public transport. The hill up from Clifton is a deterrent! There are no facilities in either village.	6/10/2022 5:56 PM
94	After seven years of stop/start does this mean the NP is actually approaching completion. Sorry to be cynical but I doubt it.	6/10/2022 2:11 PM
95	Sites 6 and 10 - many will not walk. Already Mill Close and Wimborne residents will drive into the centre for a quick shop. Sites close to the centre not mentioned are field either side of Earls lane one on the Clifton Road opposite Earls lane that would be much better as they are of little agricultural value but within easy walking of the centre. With food securing an increasing issue, should we even be considering building on green field sites or agricultural land.	6/10/2022 12:17 PM
96	Please try to ensure all low cost housing is affordable to existing local people which at present is not the case regarding existing developments.	6/9/2022 5:42 PM
97	1. DP16 Uncertain Effect 2. DP17 Negative Effect all round 3. DP20. Only site with fairly positive effect 4. DP22 Manor Barn!! Traffic- Parked cars! Access to High Street! Ruin to the village aspect! No! Clifton should be spared this onslaught - Chapel Close is used as a parking ? for the pub. Come when the Council Utilities come to empty waste bins - you can't move in or out! More houses! Words fail me!!	6/9/2022 4:43 PM
98	I believe that the majority of any new homes should be within 1 mile of Deddington and focus on building within Deddington where there is already services	6/9/2022 4:22 PM
99	When considering sights for housing development, thoughts should also be given to how else that land might benefit the village. For example, a large South-facing sight might be a good location for a solar array; vitally important to consider during times of energy crisis.	6/9/2022 9:54 AM
100	Like every other development done nothing will be done regarding the infrastructure of the village therefore more congestion, incredibly bad parking in the village centre and not enough amenities to cover everyone especially health centre and school.	6/8/2022 10:20 AM
101	It seems incredible folly to be building on actively farmed land when food resources are in a parlous state at the moment. Food insecurity is on the increase and yet we seem to be	6/7/2022 9:06 PM

reducing our ability to produce food whilst simultaneously increasing our population. It makes no sense at all.

102	NO MENTION OF 20MPH ZONES IT WOULD BE GOOD TO SEE A STATEMENT ABOUT THE STRENGTH OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN. CHERWELL AND THE DEVELOPERS WILL IGNORE IT IF IT SUITS	6/6/2022 4:12 PM
103	With the uncertainty in the world about food production, it is imperative that we do not give up too much of our farmland for building.	6/6/2022 2:01 PM
104	stop second homes in this village will sort the housing issues - as we have too many weekend outsiders pricing everyone out of the village.	6/5/2022 8:43 AM